1) **Call to Order and Welcome**

Meeting called to order at 3:03

2) **Public Comments:** ten minutes (3-minute maximum per person) are set aside to receive comments on agenda items or items not on the agenda but within the authority (10+1) of the Senate.

3) **Action Items**

a) **Adopt Agenda: February 22, 2022**

   MSC: Kimbrough/Rodriguez to adopt agenda

b) **Approval of Minutes: February 8, 2022**

   MSC: Rayappan/Hough to approve minutes

c) **Confirmation of Faculty Appointments**

   MSC: Kimbrough/Rodriguez to confirm entire slate

4) **Information Items (15 minutes per)**

a)
5) Discussion Items: (15 minutes per item);

**a) Senate Identified Priority: Program Planning and Assessment process:** Cheryl O’Donnell
Cheryl started the discussion with a review of the 3 priorities voted on by the Senate with the PPA the first on the list: the process and timeline currently in place was reviewed. Cheryl posed the question: where is the dialog in this process? Dialog is seen as desirable by the ACCJC. Currently it appears that dialog happens between Academic Affairs, Administrative Services, and Student Services. Many view the PPA process as a resource request (“if it isn’t in your PPA...”). Where does the prioritization take place? In looking at the PPA there are factors to consider such as 10+1, new governance structure, and accreditation standards. Kelly commented that currently the process is more a “check the box” planning. Not everything has a dollar amount attached. What might a revised process look like? At one time there was a faculty driven PPA committee. Should we bring this back or merge with O&A? One possible idea is to create a subcommittee of Senate committee chairs. Jason commented that the financial and academic planning should be separated; conjoining the two muddies the waters. Nancy felt one template was preferable to two. It was mentioned that the current process was split into a 4 year cycle to avoid redundancy. Kelly remarked that some things need to be done annually to make them affective.

**b) Senate recruitment, succession, and committee participation:** O’Donnell/Locke
Kelly reviewed the list of officers and their respective term limits. She also reviewed the process of electing new officers from the AS constitution. Kelly discussed the upcoming elections and how to go about filling these positions. One idea shared was the possibility of senators sharing a position.

**c) Senate Constitution and Bylaws:** Jason Hough
The Constitution and Bylaws are in need of revision to correspond to the new governance structure. Jason reviewed some suggested changes to show Senators what sort of work needs to be done. Steering will appoint an ad hoc committee to draft updated documents with the Senate able to vote in April and May. The Bylaws require a majority vote of the Senate to pass; the Constitution requires a 60% vote of the entire faculty. Cheryl is enquiring to see if faculty can be paid a stipend to serve on the ad hoc committee. A suggestion was made to house the documents as a google doc for Senators to make suggestions. Senators were instructed to email Jason if they would like to serve on the committee.

6) President’s Report:

7) Announcements (Senators):
Carol Kimbrough announced the start of a new 24/7 crisis counseling app called TimelyCare. Students can receive aid at any time. This will not take the place of Hartnell crisis counselors but will offer a wider range of services.
Nancy commented that the new nursing building is amazing and that faculty are welcome to tour the building.

8) Adjournment: MSC: Kimbrough/Schur/Beymer at 4:30