DRAFT



College Planning Council Minutes

March 2, 2022, 3-5 p.m.

https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/92814546379

MEMBERS

	Name	Representing	Present	Absent
1.	Steve Crow, Co-Chair	Administration	Х	
	(non-voting)			
2.	Cheryl O'Donnell	Academic Senate	Х	
	Co-Chair			
3.	Romero Jalomo	Administration	Х	
4.	Clint Cowden	Administration	Х	
5.	Cathryn Wilkinson	Administration	Χ	
6.	Brian Lofman	Administration	Х	
7.	Jackie Cruz	Administration	Х	
8.	Mostafa Ghous	Administration	Χ	
9.	Shawn Pullum	CSEA President	Х	
10.	Herbert Cortez	CSEA	Χ	
11.	Delia Edeza	CSEA	Χ	
12.	Christine Svendsen	HCFA President	Χ	
13.	Daniel Lopez	Faculty	Х	
14.	Chris Moss	Faculty	Χ	
15.	Miguel-Angel Manrique	Faculty	Χ	
16.	David Beymer	Faculty	Х	
17.	Nancy Schur-Beymer	Faculty	Χ	
18.	Carol Kimbrough	PT Faculty		X
19.	Jane Hernandez	Associated Students	Х	
20.	Vacant	Associated Students		
21.	Vacant	L-39		
22.	Vacant	C.S.E.A.		

GUEST PRESENTER(S)

23. Dr. Peter Gray	Taskforce Member	
24. Dr. Hetty Yelland	Taskforce Member	
25. David Techaira	Chief Business Officer (Interim)	
26. Bala Kappagantula	Chief Technology Officer (Interim)	

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Co-Chair Cheryl O'Donnell called the meeting to order at 3:03 p.m.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MSC: Jalomo/Hernandez

Approved the meeting agenda as revised. Moved the item, Redesign of Governance Structure (2nd reading), to the end of the agenda because some members had a scheduling conflict, but would arrive closer to 4 p.m.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MSC: Moss/Jalomo

Approved the meeting minutes of December 15, 2021 as presented.

4. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 2410

The Council reviewed AP 2410, Board Policies and Administrative Procedure. Dr. Crow brought forth the procedure to ask that the Council look at the procedure and acknowledge that there may be some changes needed in the coming year. The procedure states that new and revised policies and procedures go through all constituent groups, which is timely. Since each council has adequate representation from constituent groups, the review and recommended action could be accomplished at the council level. Dr. Crow suggests notifying the constituent groups and forwarding the proposed policies and procedures concurrently. The proposed governance model addresses board policies and administrative procedures, and if the proposed governance structure is approved, AP 2410 would require revisions. More to follow.

5. INJURY AND ILLNESS PREVENTION PLAN

Dr. Crow reported that Cal/OHSA requires that the District have an Injury and Illness Prevention Plan and that the District's existing plan has been reviewed and updated. The plan is presented to the Council as first reading. Though minor, some areas of the plan need to be finalized, and the appendices need to be inserted. Dr. Crow anticipates this will be completed in the very near future. Cheryl urged the members to review the plan and to provide their input to Daniel Scott, director of campus safety and emergency management.

Herbert Cortez asked if COVID Prevention Plan, also required by Cal/OHSA, will forward to the Council and Dr. Crow responded that he would look into.

6. REDESIGN OF GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE - SECOND READING

Motion/Second: Schur-Beymer/Beymer to recommend approval of the proposed redesign of governance structure and to accept the final report from the task force.

Motion failed by vote of: 7 Yes; 8 No

No: Cortez, Cruz, Jalomo, Lopez, Moss, Pullum, Svendsen, Wilkinson

Yes: Beymer, Cowden, Ghous, Lofman, Manrique, O'Donnell, Schur-Beymer

Cheryl introduced Dr. Hetty Yelland and Dr. Peter Gray, who provided an overview of the proposed redesign of governance structure pointing out revisions to the initial proposal, such as the addition of a consultation council. The Council received the proposed redesign and final report from the task force as a first reading at the December 15, 2021 meeting.

After the presentation, the Council engaged in a lengthy and robust discussion. Several of the members thanked Drs. Yelland, Gray, and Lofman for their work, stated that they liked that the model was collaborative, inclusive, and student-centered. Additionally, members liked the idea of fewer councils, but felt that the proposal needed vetting across existing councils and asked how the work of the existing councils and other college committees would fit into the new model. Dr. Yelland responded that each council would have the discretion to form sub-councils/committees. Another point of discussion was the number of classified representatives. CSEA is larger in members than the confidential group and L-39 and if not all confidential and L-39 seats were appointed, what would happen to the vacant seats – could CSEA occupy those seats. The proposed membership is designed to provide equity across all groups; though, the proposal does not include the appointment of the faculty association and this is in disagreement with the faculty association and has been since first presented to the Council. Dr. Yelland stated that she would like to see the focus on student success and encouraged to put aside self-interests. Some members felt it was okay to wait until the next superintendent/president is on board; however, the proposal does include the superintendent/president to weigh and propose changes next spring, if needed. Dr. Crow requested that the language "participatory governance" be used across the document. Also, Dr. Wilkinson stated that she did not see how Senate recommendations would flow to the superintendent/president; however, Nancy Schur-Beymer stated that legislation allows for the Senate to forward 10+1 items directly to the Governing Board. Nancy also stated while she appreciated the robust discussion, she suggested the robust discussion at the first reading, but understood the first reading was held at the end of fall semester. Dr. Crow stated that he met with Drs. Yelland, Gray, and Lofman and he sees the value of moving forward with an efficient process, but that there are major concerns that need to be addressed and suggested incorporating some into the current model. Chris Moss suggested that presenting the proposal to existing councils, addressing classified employee representation, and developing a transition plan could help moving the proposal forward.

Dr. Lofman stated that with the proposal being voted down, he does not see how this work can be done by next year. He will regroup with the leadership and task force.

7. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 5:14 p.m.

NEXT MEETINGS

March 16, 2022 April 6, 2022 April 20, 2022 May 4, 2022